arXiv:1007.1186v1 [math.FA] 7 Jul 2010

INTEGRAL OPERATORS IN GRAND MORREY SPACES

Alexander Meskhi

Abstract. We introduce grand Morrey spaces and establish the boundedness of Hardy–Littlewood maximal, Calderón–Zygmund and potential operators in these spaces. In our case the operators and grand Morrey spaces are defined on quasi-metric measure spaces with doubling measure. The results are new even for Euclidean spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25, 46E30.

Key words and phrases: Grand Morrey spaces, Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, Calderón–Zygmund operators, potentials, Boundedness.

Introduction

In the paper we introduce the grand Morrey spaces $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}$ and derive the boundedness of a class of integral operators (Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions, Calderón–Zygmund singular integrals and potentials) in these spaces. We study the boundedness problem in the frame of quasi-metric measure spaces with doubling measure but the results are new even for Euclidean spaces.

The classical grand Lebesgue spaces $L^{p)}$ were introduced in the paper by T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [19] when they studied the problem of the integrability of the Jacobian $J(f,x)$ of the order preserving mapping $f=(f_1,\cdots,f_n):\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$ under minimal hypothesis, where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $n\geq 2$.

Later the generalized grand lebesgue spaces $L^{p),\theta}$ appeared in the paper by L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [17], where the existence and uniqueness of the nonhomogeneous n-harmonic equation $div\ A(x,\nabla u)=\mu$ were established.

Structural properties of these spaces were investigated in the papers [11], [13], [4] etc.

A. Fiorenza, B. Gupta and P. Jain [12] proved the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator defined on an interval in weighted $L^{p)}$ space, while the same problem for the Hilbert transform and other singular integrals were studied in the papers [22], [20].

The Morrey spaces $L^{p,\lambda}$, which were introduced by C. Morrey in 1938 (see [26]) in order to study regularity questions which appear in the calculus of variations, describe local regularity more precisely than Lebesgue spaces and widely use not only harmonic analysis but also partial differential equations (c.f. [15], [16]).

For essential properties of $L^{p,\lambda}$ spaces and the boundedness of maximal, fractional and singular operators in these spaces we refer to the papers [1], [27], [5], [7], [28], [15], etc.

Finally we mention that necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of maximal operators and Riesz potentials in the local Morrey–type spaces were derived in [2], [3].

1 Preliminaries

Let $X := (X, \rho, \mu)$ be a topological space with a complete measure μ such that the space of compactly supported continuous functions is dense in $L^1(X, \mu)$ and there exists a non-negative real-valued function (quasi-metric) d on $X \times X$ satisfying the conditions:

(i) $\rho(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
(ii) there exists a constant $a_1 > 0$, such that $\rho(x, y) \leq a_1(\rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y))$ for all x, y, $z \in X$;
(iii) there exists a constant $a_0 > 0$, such that $\rho(x, y) \leq a_0 \rho(y, x)$ for all x, $y, \in X$.

We assume that the balls $B(x, r) := \{y \in X : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ are measurable and $0 \leq \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$; for every neighborhood V of $x \in X$, there exists $r > 0$, such that $B(x, r) \subset V$. Throughout the paper we also suppose that $\mu\{x\} = 0$ and that

$$B(x, R) \setminus B(x, r) \neq \emptyset \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x \in X$, positive r and R with $0 < r < R < d$, where

$$d := diam\ (X) = \ \sup\{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in X\}.$$

Throughout the paper we suppose that $d < \infty$ and that the doubling condition

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c\mu(B(x, r))$$

for μ is satisfied, where the positive constant c does not depend on $x \in X$ and $r > 0$. In this case the triple (X, d, μ) is called a space of homogeneous type (SHT). For the definition, examples and some properties of an *SHT* see, e.g., monographs [29], [6].

A quasi-metric measure space, where the doubling condition is not assumed is called a non-homogeneous space.

Notice that the condition $d < \infty$ implies that $\mu(X) < \infty$ because every ball in X has a finite measure.

We say that the measure μ is upper Ahlfors $Q-$ regular if there is a positive constant c_1 such that $\mu B(x, r) \leq c_1 r^Q$ for for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$. Further, μ is lower Ahlfors $q-$ regular if there is a positive constant c_2 such that $\mu B(x, r) \geq c_2 r^q$ for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\theta > 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. We denote by $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X, \mu)$ the class of those $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for which the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \sup_{0<\varepsilon<p-1} \sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0<r<d}} \left[\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{(\mu(B(x, r))^\lambda} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^{p-\varepsilon} d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

is finite.

If $\lambda = 0$, then $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X, \mu)$ is the grand lebesgue space defined on X and denoted by $L^{p),\theta}(X, \mu)$. Further, if $\theta = 1$, then we use the symbol $L^{p),\lambda}(X, \mu)$ instead of $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X, \mu)$.

Using Hölder's inequality it is easy to see that the following embeddings hold for $L^{p),\theta}$ spaces (see also [12], [17]):

$$L^p_w(X, \mu) \subset L^{p),\theta_1}_w(X, \mu) \subset L^{p),\theta_2}_w(X, \mu) \subset L^{p-\varepsilon}_w(X, \mu),$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < p - 1$ and $\theta_1 < \theta_2$.

The classical Morrey space, denoted by $L^{p,\lambda}(X, \mu)$, is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0<r<d}} \left[\frac{1}{(\mu(B(x, r))^\lambda} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Finally we mention that constants (often different constants in the same series of inequalities) will generally be denoted by c or C. By the symbol p' we denote the conjugate number of p, i.e. $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$, $1 < p < \infty$.

2 Maximal Operator in Grand Morrey Spaces

In this section we prove the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator

$$(Mf)(x) = \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|d\mu(y), \ \ x \in X,$$

in $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)$.

Our main theorem in this section is the following statement:

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $\theta > 0$ *and let* $0 \le \lambda < 1$. *Suppose that* $d < \infty$. *Then the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator* M *is bounded in* $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)$.

To prove Theorem 2.1 we need some auxiliary statements.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *Then there is a positive constant* c_0 *non-depending on* p *such that*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(X,\mu)} \le c_0\, (p')^{\frac{1}{p}}\, \|f\|_{L^p(X,\mu)} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. The proof follows directly from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. The constant c_0 arises from the appropriate covering lemma (see, e. g., [8], p. 29). □

Let us denote by $L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)$ the classical Morrey space, where $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 \le \lambda < 1$, which is the class of all μ-measurable functions f for which the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \left[\frac{1}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is finite. If $\lambda = 0$, then $L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu) = L^p(X,\mu)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and let* $0 \le \lambda < 1$. *Then*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le \left(b^{\lambda/p} c_0\, (p')^{\frac{1}{p}} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

holds, where the positive constant b *arises in the doubling condition for* μ *and* c_0 *is the constant from* (2.1).

Proof. Let r be a small positive number and let us represent f as follows:

$$f = f_1 + f_2,$$

where $f_1 = f \cdot \chi_{B(x,\overline{a}r)}$, $f_2 = f - f_1$ and a is the positive constant given by $\overline{a} = a_1(a_1(a_0+1)+1)$ (here a_0 and a_1 are constants arisen in the triangle inequality for the quasi-metric ρ).

We have

$$\left[\frac{1}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf)^p(y) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \left(\frac{1}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf_1)^p(y) d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}(Mf_2)^p(y)d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p} =: J_1(x,r)+J_2(x,r).$$

By applying Proposition 2.1 we have that

$$J_1(x,r)\le\frac{1}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda/p}}\left(\int\limits_{X}(Mf_1(y))^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le c_0(p')^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mu B(x,r))^{-\lambda/p}\left(\int\limits_{B(x,\overline{a}r)}|f(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p}\le c_0 b^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}(p')^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)},$$

where c_0 is the constant from (2.1) and b arises from the inequality

$$\mu B(x,\overline{a}r)\le b\mu B(x,r)$$

which is a consequence of the doubling condition. Further, observe that (see also [23], p. 23) if $y\in B(x,r)$, then $B(x,r)\subset B(y,a_1(a_0+1)r)\subset B(x,\overline{a},r)$. Hence, if $y\in B(x,r)$, then

$$Mf_2(y)\le\sup_{B(x,r)\subset B}\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int\limits_{B}|f(y)|d\mu(y).$$

Consequently,

$$J_2(x,r)\le\mu(B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p}}\sup_{B(x,r)\subset B}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int\limits_{B}|f(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le\sup_{B}(\mu B)^{-\lambda/p}\left(\int\limits_{B}|f(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p}=\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

Taking into account the estimates for $J_1(x,r)$ and $J_2(x,r)$ we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))^{\lambda}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}(Mf(y))^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p}\le\left(c_0 b^{\lambda/p}(p')^{1/p}+1\right)\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It is obvious that

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)}=\max\left\{\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma}\sup_{\substack{x\in X\\0\le r<d}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\theta}}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}(Mf(y))^{p-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}};\right.$$

$$\left.\sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1}\sup_{\substack{x\in X\\0\le r<d}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\theta}}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}(Mf(y))^{p-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}\right\}=:\max\{A_1,A_2\}.$$

We begin to estimate A_2. Using the facts that $\sup\limits_{\sigma \le \varepsilon < p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} = p-1$, $\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon} > \frac{1}{p-\sigma}$ (when $\sigma < \varepsilon < p-1$) and Hölder's inequality we have that

$$A_2 = \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|Mf\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$= \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0\le r<d}} (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{p-\varepsilon} d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\le \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0\le r<d}} (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{p-\sigma} d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}}$$

$$\le \left(\sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \right) \left(\sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0\le r<d}} (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\sigma}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{p-\sigma} d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}} \right)$$

$$\le (p-1)^{\theta} \sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0\le r<d}} (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\sigma}} \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sigma^{\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{p-\sigma} d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}}$$

$$= (p-1)^{\theta} \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sup_{\substack{x\in X \\ 0\le r<d}} \left(\frac{\sigma^{\theta}}{\mu B(x,r)^{\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{p-\sigma} d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}}$$

$$\le (p-1)^{\theta} \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|Mf\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

Hence, by using Proposition 2.2 we find that

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p),\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le p\sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|Mf\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\le c_0 p \cdot \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} b^{\frac{\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \left[\left(\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} + 1 \right] \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\le c_0 p \cdot \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \left[\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} b^{\frac{\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \left[\left(\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} + 1 \right] \right] \|f\|_{L^{p),\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

Since σ is sufficiently small, we have that the expression

$$S_{p,\sigma} := c_0 p \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} b^{\frac{\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \left[((p-\varepsilon)')^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} + 1 \right]$$

is finite.

In fact,

$$S_{p,\sigma} \le c_0 p \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} b^{\frac{\lambda}{p-\sigma}} \left[(p-\sigma)' + 1 \right].$$

Finally,

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \leq \left(\inf_{0<\sigma<p-1} S_{p,\sigma}\right) \|f\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

□

3 Calderón-Zygmund Operators in Grand Morrey Spaces

Let

$$Tf(x) = p.v. \int\limits_X k(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y),$$

where $k : X \times X \setminus \{(x,x) : x \in X\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying the conditions:

$$|k(x,y)| \leq \frac{c}{\mu B(x,\rho(x,y))}, \quad x,y \in X, \quad x \neq y;$$

$$|k(x_1,y) - k(x_2,y)| + |k(y,x_1) - k(y,x_2)| \leq c\omega\Big(\frac{\rho(x_2,x_1)}{\rho(x_2,y)}\Big) \frac{1}{\mu B(x_2,\rho(x_2,y))}$$

for all x_1, x_2 and y with $\rho(x_2,y) > c\rho(x,x_2)$, where ω is a positive non-decreasing function on $(0,\infty)$ which satisfies the Δ_2 condition: $\omega(2t) \leq c\omega(t)$ $(t > 0)$; and the Dini condition: $\int_0^1 \big(\omega(t)/t\big)dt < \infty$.

We also assume that for some constant p_0, $1 < p_0 < \infty$, and all $f \in L^{p_0}(X,\mu)$ the limit $Tf(x)$ exists almost everywhere on X and that T is bounded in $L^{p_0}(X,\mu)$.

For simplicity we will assume that $p_0 = 2$. We call T the Calderón-Zygmund operator.

Lemma 3.1. *Let T be the Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then there is a positive constant c non-depending on p such that the following estimates hold:*

$$\|T\|_{L^p(X,\mu)\to L^p(X,\mu)} \leq c\left(\frac{p}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2-p}\right), \quad 1 < p < 2,$$

$$\|T\|_{L^p(X,\mu)\to L^p(X,\mu)} \leq c\left(p + \frac{p}{p-2}\right), \quad p > 2.$$

Proof. Since T has weak $(1,1)$ and strong $(2,2)$ types the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (see, e. g., [8], p. 29) we have that

$$\|T\|_{L^p(X,\mu)\to L^p(X,\mu)} \leq \left(\frac{2p}{p-1}\frac{A_0}{c^{p-1}} + \frac{4p}{2-p}\frac{A_1^2}{c^{p-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}, \quad 1 < p < 2,$$

where A_0 is the constant arisen in the weak $(1,1)$ type inequality for T and A_1 is the constant from the strong $(2,2)$ type inequality for T. Observe now that

$$\left[\frac{2p}{p-1}\frac{A_0}{c^{p-1}} + \frac{4p}{2p}\frac{A_1^2}{c^{p-2}}\right]^{1/p} \leq 2^{1/p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1/p}\frac{A_0^{1/p}}{c^{(p-1)/p}} + 4^{1/p}\left(\frac{p}{2-p}\right)^{1/p}\frac{A_1^{2/p}}{c^{(p-2)/p}}$$

$$\leq c\left(\frac{p}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2-p}\right),$$

where the positive constant c does not depend on p.

Let now $p > 2$. By using the above–mentioned arguments we have that

$$\|T\|_{L^p \to L^p} = \|T\|_{L^{p'} \to L^{p'}} \leq \left(\frac{p'}{p'-1} + \frac{p'}{2-p'} \right) = c \left(p + \frac{p}{p-2} \right).$$

□

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. *Then*

$$\|T\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \leq c \left[\frac{p}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2-p} + \frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda} \right], \quad 1 < p < 2,$$

$$\|T\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \leq c \left[p + \frac{p}{p-2} + \frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda} \right], \quad p > 2.$$

Proof. Let us take small $r > 0$ and $x \in X$. Represent f as follows: $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f \cdot \chi_{B(x,2a_1r)}$, $f_2 = f - f_1$, where a_1 is the constant from the triangle inequality for the quasi-metric ρ. Observe that if $y \in B(x,r)$ and $z \in X \backslash B(x, 2a_1 r)$, then

$$\mu B(x, \rho(x,z)) \leq c \mu B(y, \rho(y,z)). \tag{3.1}$$

Inequality (3.1) follows from the estimates

$$\mu B(x, \rho(x,z)) \leq c_1 \mu B(x, \rho(y,z)) \leq c_2 \mu B(y, \rho(y,z)). \tag{3.2}$$

To show the first part of (3.2) observe that

$$\rho(x,z) \leq a_1 \rho(x,y) + a_1 \rho(y,z) \leq a_1 r + a_1 \rho(y,z) \leq \frac{\rho(x,z)}{2} + a_1 \rho(y,z)$$

Hence, $\frac{\rho(x,z)}{2a_1} \leq \rho(y,z)$. Now by the doubling condition we have the first part of (3.2).

The second part of (3.2) follows easily.

Recall now that the doubling condition for μ implies the reverse doubling condition for μ: there one constants $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ such that for all $x \in X$ and small positive r,

$$\mu B(x, \alpha r) \leq \beta \mu B(x,r). \tag{3.3}$$

Let us take an integer m_0 so that $\alpha^{m_0} d$ is sufficiently small, where d is the diameter of X.

Let $y \in B(x,r)$. Then by (3.1) and Fubini's theorem we have that

$$|Tf_2(y)| \leq c \int\limits_{X \backslash B(x, 2a_1 r)} |f(z)| (\mu B(x,z))^{-1} d\mu(z)$$

$$\leq c_\beta \int\limits_{X \backslash B(x, 2a_1 r)} |f(z)| \left(\int\limits_{B(x, \alpha^{m_0} \rho(x,z)) \backslash B(x, \alpha^{m_0-1} \rho(x,z))} (\mu B(x, \rho(x,t))^{-2} d\mu(t) \right) d\mu(z)$$

$$\leq c_\beta \int\limits_{X \backslash B(x, 2\alpha^{m_0-1} a_1 r)} (\mu B(x, \rho(x,t)))^{-2} \left(\int\limits_{B(x, \alpha^{1-m_0} \rho(x,t))} |f(z)| d\mu(z) \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq c \int\limits_{X\backslash B(x,2\alpha^{m_0-1}a_1 r)} (\mu B(x,\rho(x,t)))^{-1}\overline{f}(x,t)d\mu(t),$$

where

$$\overline{f}(x,t) := \left[\mu B\left(x, \alpha^{1-m_0}r\right)\right]^{-1} \int\limits_{B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))} |f(z)|d\mu(z).$$

Observe that by Hölder's inequality the following estimates hold:

$$\overline{f}(x,t) \leq \mu B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))^{-1}\|f\|_{L^p(B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))}\|\chi_{B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))}\|_{L^{p'}(X)}$$

$$\leq c(\mu B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))}$$

$$\leq c(\mu B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,z))^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

By applying now Lemma 1.2 of [23] (see also the monograph [9]. p. 372) we find that for $y\in B(x,r)$,

$$|Tf_2(y)| \leq c\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \int\limits_{X\backslash B(x,2\alpha^{1-m_0}a_1 r)} \left[\mu B(x,\alpha^{1-m_0}\rho(x,t))\right]^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}-1} d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq c\left[\mu B(x,2\alpha^{1-m_0}a_1 r)\right]^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} \cdot \frac{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}-1}{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} \cdot \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$= c\frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda}\left[\mu B(x,2\alpha^{1-m_0}a_1 r)\right]^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)},$$

where the positive constant c does not depend on λ and p.

Consequently, by Lemma 3.1 we find that

$$\left[(\mu B(x,r))^{-\lambda}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}|Tf(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right]^{1/p} \leq (\mu B(x,r))^{-\lambda/p}\left(\int\limits_{B(x,r)}|Tf_1(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+(\mu B(x,r))^{-\lambda/p}\left(\int\limits_{B(x,r)}|Tf_2(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq c\cdot c_p(\mu B(x,r))^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}\left(\int\limits_{B(x,2a_1 r)}|f(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$+c\frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda}\left(\mu B(x,2\alpha^{1-m_0}a_1 r)\right)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}}(\mu B(x,2a_1 r))^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times(\mu B(x,r))^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\leq \left(c \cdot c_p + c \cdot \frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda}\right) \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = c\left(c_p + \frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda}\right) \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)},$$

where the constant $c > 0$ does not depend on p and λ, and

$$c_p = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2-p}, & 1 < p < 2, \\ p + \frac{p}{p-2}, & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

Observe that the constant c does not depend on λ and p. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\theta > 0$ and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then the operator T is bounded in $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \max\left\{ \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq\sigma} \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\leq r<d}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{(\mu B(x,r))^\lambda} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |Tf|^{p-\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}, \right.$$

$$\left. \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\leq r<d}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{(\mu B(x,r))^\lambda} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |Tf|^{p-\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \right\} =: \max\{A_1, A_2\},$$

where σ is the number satisfying the condition $0 < \sigma < p-1$.

Now we estimate A_2. By applying Hölder's inequality we find that

$$A_2 = \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|Tf\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \mu(B(x,r))^{-\frac{\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |Tf|^{p-\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<p-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \left((\mu B(x,r))^{-1} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |Tf|^{p-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\sigma}}.$$

Further, without loss of generality we can assume that $\mu(X) = 1$ and, consequently, $(\mu(B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\varepsilon}} \leq (\mu B(x,r))^{\frac{1-\lambda}{p-\sigma}}$. Hence,

$$A_2 \leq (p-1)^\theta \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq\sigma} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|Tf\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.1 we conclude that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \leq \left[(p-1)^\theta \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} + 1\right] \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq\sigma} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|Tf\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\leq \left[(p-1)^\theta \sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}} + 1\right] \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq\sigma} C_{p,\lambda,\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{p-\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$= \left[(p-1)^{\theta}\sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}}+1\right] \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} C_{p,\lambda,\varepsilon} \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\theta}}{(\mu B(x,r))^{\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^{p-\varepsilon} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\le \left[(p-1)^{\theta}\sigma^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\sigma}}+1\right] \|f\|_{L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} C_{p,\lambda,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$C_{p,\lambda,\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \frac{p-\varepsilon-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda} + \frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon-1} + \frac{p-\varepsilon}{2-p+\varepsilon}, & 1<p<2 \\ \frac{p-\varepsilon-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda} + p-\varepsilon + \frac{p-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon-2}, & p>2. \end{cases}$$

Observe now that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} C_{p,\lambda,\varepsilon} \le \begin{cases} \frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda} + \frac{p-\sigma}{p-\sigma-1} + \frac{p}{2-p}, & 1<p<2, \\ \frac{p-\lambda+1}{1-\lambda} + \frac{p-\sigma}{p-\sigma-1} + \frac{p-\sigma}{p-\sigma-2}, & p>2, \end{cases}$$

where σ is sufficiently small. □

4 Fractional integrals in grand Morrey spaces

4.1 Potentials $(I_\alpha f)(x) = \int\limits_X \frac{f(y)}{\rho(x,y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} d\mu(y)$

Let an SHT (X,ρ,μ) satisfy the condition: there are positive constants b and γ such that

$$\mu B(x,r) \le b r^{\gamma}, \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x \in X$ and r, $0<r<d$, i.e. μ is upper $\gamma-$ Ahlfors regular. As before we assume that $d = diam(X) < \infty$.

Let

$$(I_\alpha f)(x) = \int\limits_X \frac{f(y)}{\rho(x,y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} d\mu(y), \quad x \in X,$$

where $0<\alpha<\gamma$.

In this section we study the boundedness of I_α in grand Morrey spaces. For this we define the classical Morrey space as follows: $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)$ $(1<p<\infty,\ 0\le\lambda<\frac{1}{\gamma})$ if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} := \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \left(\frac{1}{r^{\gamma\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

Further, let φ be a positive function in $(0,p-1)$ which is increasing near 0 and satisfies the condition $\varphi(0+)=0$. We say that $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p),\varphi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p),\varphi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \sup_{0<\varepsilon<p-1} \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \left(\frac{\varphi(\varepsilon)}{r^{\gamma\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Let θ be a positive number. If $\varphi(\varepsilon) \equiv \varepsilon^{\theta}$, then we denote $\mathcal{L}^{p),\varphi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu) =: \mathcal{L}^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)$. For $\theta = 1$ we have the grand Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p),\lambda}(X,\mu)$.

Let

$$(\mathcal{M}f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \frac{1}{r^{\gamma}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|d\mu(y), \;\; x \in X.$$

We begin with the following statement:

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and let* $0 \le \lambda < 1$*. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le \left((\overline{a})^{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{p}} c_0 \, (p')^{\frac{1}{p}} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

holds, where c_0 *is the constant from* (2.1) *and* $\overline{a} = a_1(a_1(a_0+1)+1)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}f(x) \le Mf(x)$, by Proposition 2.1 we have that

$$\|\mathcal{M}\|_{L^p(X,\mu)\to L^p(X,\mu)} \le c_0(p')^{1/p}.$$

Repeating the proof of Proposition 2.2 we have the desired result. □

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{p}$, $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}$, *where* $0 \le \lambda < 1/\gamma$*. Then the inequality*

$$\|I_{\alpha}f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le c(p,\alpha,\lambda,\gamma)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

holds, where the positive constant $c(p,\alpha,\lambda,\gamma)$ *is given by*

$$c(p,\alpha,\lambda,\gamma) = c\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha p]} \left[(p')^{1/q} + 1 \right],$$

and the positive constant c *does not depend on* p *and* α.

Proof. First we show that the Hedberg's [18] type inequality holds:

$$|(I_{\alpha}f)(x)| \le c_{p,\lambda,\gamma,\alpha}(\mathcal{M}f)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}(x)\|f\|^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $c_{p,\lambda,\gamma,\alpha} = \frac{2(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha((1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha p))}$. To prove (4.2) we set

$$f_r(x) := \frac{1}{r^{\gamma}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|d\mu(y).$$

The inequality

$$\rho(x,y) \le 2 \int\limits_{\rho(x,y)}^{2\rho(x,y)} t^{\alpha-\gamma-1}dt, \quad 0 < \rho(x,y) < l, \tag{4.3}$$

is obvious. By using (4.3) we find that

$$|(I_{\alpha}f)(x)| \le 2\int\limits_X |f(y)| \left(\int\limits_{\rho(x,y)}^{2\rho(x,y)} t^{\alpha-\gamma-1}dt \right) d\mu(y)$$

$$= 2\int\limits_0^{2d} t^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left(\int\limits_{\frac{t}{2}<\rho(x,y)<t} |f(y)|d\mu(y) \right) dt \le 2\int\limits_0^{2d} t^{\alpha-\gamma} f_t(x)dt.$$

Taking $\varepsilon > 0$ (which will be chosen later) we have that

$$|(I_\alpha f)(x)| \leq 2 \left[\int\limits_0^{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha-\gamma} f_t(x) dt + \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{2d} t^{\alpha-\gamma} f_t(x) dt \right]$$

$$=: 2 \left[J_1^{(\varepsilon)}(x) + J_2^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \right].$$

It is obvious that

$$J_1^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \leq (\mathcal{M}f)(x) \int\limits_0^{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha-1} dt = \frac{(\mathcal{M}f)(x)}{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha}.$$

Further, by Hölder's inequality and condition (4.1) it is clear that

$$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^{\gamma}} \int\limits_{B(x,t)} |f(y)| d\mu(y) \leq \left(\frac{1}{t^{\gamma}} \int\limits_{B(x,t)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= t^{-\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{t^{\gamma\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq t^{-\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\lambda\gamma}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

By applying the condition $\frac{\lambda-1}{p}\gamma + \alpha < 0$ we find that

$$|(I_\alpha f)(x)| \leq 2 \left[\frac{(\mathcal{M}f)(x)}{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha} + \left(\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{2l} t^{\frac{(\lambda-1)\gamma}{p} + \alpha - 1} dt \right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}} \right]$$

$$= 2 \left[\frac{(\mathcal{M}f)(x)}{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha} - \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}\gamma + \alpha}}{\left[\alpha + \frac{\lambda-1}{p}\gamma \right]} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \right].$$

Let $\varepsilon = \left[\frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}}{(\mathcal{M}f)(x)} \right]^{\frac{p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}$. Then

$$|(I_\alpha f)(x)| \leq 2 \Bigg[\frac{(\mathcal{M}f)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}(x)}{\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\left[\alpha + \frac{(\lambda-1)\gamma}{p} \right]} \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}} (\mathcal{M}f)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}(x) \Bigg]$$

$$= 2 \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{p}{\alpha p + (\lambda - 1)\gamma} \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}} (\mathcal{M}f)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}(x)$$

$$= 2 \frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha((1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha p)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}} (\mathcal{M}f)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}(x).$$

Consequently, by the condition $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}$ and Proposition 4.1 we have that

$$\left(\frac{1}{t^{\gamma\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,t)} |(I_\alpha f)(y)|^q d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\le t^{-\frac{\gamma\lambda}{q}} \frac{2(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha((1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p)} \left[\int\limits_{B(x,t)} (\mathcal{M}f(y))^{q\left[1-\frac{p\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}\right]} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}$$

$$= \frac{2(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha((1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p)} \left[\frac{1}{t^{\gamma\lambda}} \int\limits_{B(x,t)} (\mathcal{M}f(y))^{p} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}$$

$$\le \frac{2(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha\left[(1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p\right]} \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{p/q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}.$$

$$\Big(\text{ recall that } \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le \left((\overline{a})^{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{p}} c_0\, (p')^{\frac{1}{p}} + 1\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}\Big)$$

$$\le \frac{2(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p]} \left((\overline{a})^{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{p}} c_0\, (p')^{\frac{1}{p}} + 1\right)^{p/q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\le \frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p]} \left((c_0)^{p/q} (\overline{a})^{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{q}} (p')^{1/q} + 1\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\le \frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p]} \left(c_0 (\overline{a})^{\lambda\gamma} (p')^{1/q} + 1\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\le c\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha p]} \left((p')^{1/q} + 1\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

□

Theorem 4.1. *Let Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{p}$, $0 \le \lambda < 1/\gamma$ *and let* $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}$. *Suppose that* $\theta_1 > 0$. *We set*

$$\theta_2 = \left[1 + \frac{\alpha q}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}\right]\theta_1.$$

Then the operator I_α *is bounded from* $\mathcal{L}^{p),\theta_1,\lambda}(X,\mu)$ *to* $\mathcal{L}^{q),\theta_2,\lambda}(X,\mu)$.

Proof. Let us introduce the function:

$$\varphi(u) := \left[p + \frac{(1-\lambda)(u-q)\gamma}{(1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha(u-q)}\right]^{\frac{\gamma(1-\lambda)-(u-q)\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}.$$

Observe that

$$\varphi(t) \sim t^{1+\frac{\alpha q}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}, \quad \text{as } t \to 0+\,.$$

Hence it is enough to prove that I_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}^{p),\theta_1,\lambda}(X,\mu)$ to $\mathcal{L}^{q),\psi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu)$, where

$$\psi(t) := \varphi\big(t^{\theta_1}\big).$$

Let σ be a small positive number. As in the proofs of the main theorems of previous sections we have

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\varphi,\lambda}(X,\mu)} = \max\Bigg\{ \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma} \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \left(\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{t^{\lambda\gamma}} \int\limits_{B(x,t)} |I_\alpha f(x)|^{q-\varepsilon} d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}},$$

$$\sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<q-1}\ \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}}\left(\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{t^{\lambda\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\Bigg\} =: \max\{A_1, A_2\}.$$

For A_2, we observe that

$$\psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}t^{\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{q-\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{t^{\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\le \sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<q-1}(\psi(\varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}t^{\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{q-\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{t^{\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\le \text{(by Hölder's inequality and the fact that } \sigma<\varepsilon)$$

$$\le \left[\sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<q-1}(\psi(\varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\right]t^{\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{q-\sigma}}t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q-\sigma}}\left(\int\limits_{B(x,t)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\sigma}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\sigma}}$$

$$= \left[\sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<q-1}(\psi(\varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\right]\psi(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{q-\sigma}}\left(\frac{\varphi(\sigma)}{t^{\lambda\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,t)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\sigma}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\sigma}}$$

$$\le \left[\sup_{\sigma<\varepsilon<q-1}\psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\right]\psi(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{q-\sigma}}\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\sigma}\ \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le t<d}}\left(\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{t^{\lambda\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,t)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\sigma}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\sigma}}.$$

Further, applying Lemma 4.1, for ε satisfying the condition $0<\varepsilon\le\sigma$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{t^{\lambda\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,t)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} = \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{t^{\lambda\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,t)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$$

$$\le (\psi(\varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le t<d}}\left(\frac{1}{t^{\lambda\gamma}}\int\limits_{B(x,t)}|I_\alpha f(y)|^{q-\varepsilon}d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$$

$$= \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q-\varepsilon,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le$$

$$\le c(p-\eta,\alpha,\lambda)\psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q-\eta,\lambda}(X,\mu)},$$

$$\left(\text{where } \frac{1}{p-\eta}-\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}=\frac{\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}\right)$$

$$= c\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma-\alpha(p-\eta)]}\left(\left[\frac{p-\eta}{p-\eta-1}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}+1\right)\psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p-\eta,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$$\le \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \eta^{-\frac{\theta_1}{p-\eta}} c(p-\eta,\alpha,\lambda)\eta^{\frac{\theta_1}{p-\eta}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p-\eta,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

$\Big($ observe that when σ is small, then η is also small positive number; recall also that

$$\varphi(u) = \left[p + \frac{(1-\lambda)(u-q)\gamma}{(1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha(u-q)}\right]^{\frac{\gamma(1-\lambda)-(u-q)\alpha}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}} \sim u^{1+\frac{\alpha q}{(1-\lambda)\gamma}}, \quad u \to 0+,$$

and $\psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \eta^{-\frac{\theta_1}{p-\eta}} = 1 \Big)$

$$\le \Big[\sup_{0<\eta\le\sigma_1} c(p-\eta,\alpha,\lambda)\Big] \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p),\theta_1,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

Hence,

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L^{q),\theta_2,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le \Big[\sup_{0<\eta\le\sigma_1} c(p-\eta,\alpha,\lambda)\Big] \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p),\theta_1,\lambda}(X,\mu)},$$

where

$$c(p-\eta,\alpha,\lambda) = \overline{c}\frac{(1-\lambda)\gamma}{\alpha[(1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha(p-\eta)]}\left(\left[\frac{p-\eta}{p-\eta-1}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} + 1\right),$$

$\overline{c}$ is the constant independent of p, η and α; σ_1 is a small positive number. Observe that if σ_1 is sufficiently small, then $(1-\lambda)\gamma - \alpha(p-\eta) \ge \eta_0 > 0$, $\frac{p-\eta}{p-\eta-1} \le p' + 1$ for some η_0 when $0 < \eta \le \sigma_1$. □

4.2 Potential $(T_\alpha f)(x) = \int\limits_X \frac{f(y)}{\mu B(x,\rho(x,y))^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y)$

Let

$$(T_\alpha f)(x) = \int\limits_X \frac{f(y)}{\mu B(x,\rho(x,y))^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(y), \quad 0<\alpha<1.$$

Suppose that instead of condition (4.1) of the previous section the following conditions hold:

(i)

$$\mu\{x\} = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in X;$$

(ii)

$$\mu B(x,t) \text{ is continuous in } t \text{ for every } x \in X. \tag{4.4}$$

For example, (4.4) holds if $\mu\{y \in X : \ \rho(x,y) = t\} = 0$ for arbitrary $x \in X$ and $t \in [0,d)$.

We say that $f \in L^{p),\varphi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu)$ if

$$\|f\|_{L^{p),\varphi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu)} := \sup_{0<\varepsilon<p-1} \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \left(\frac{\varphi(\varepsilon)}{\mu B(x,r)^\lambda} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y)\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 0 \le \lambda < 1,$$

where φ is a positive function in $(0, p-1)$ which is increasing near 0 and satisfies the condition $\varphi(0+) = 0$. If $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^\theta$, where θ is a positive number, then we denote $L^{p),\varphi(\cdot),\lambda}(X,\mu)$ by $L^{p),\theta,\lambda}(X,\mu)$.

Our aim in this section is to prove the next statement:

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1-\lambda}{p}$, $0 \le \lambda < 1$ *and let* $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\lambda}$. *Let* θ_1 *be a positive number. We set*

$$\theta_2 = \theta_1\Big(1 + \frac{\alpha q}{1-\lambda}\Big).$$

Then the operator T_α *is bounded from* $L^{p),\theta_1,\lambda}(X,\mu)$ *to* $L^{q),\theta_2,\lambda}(X,\mu)$.

To prove Theorem 5.1 we need the following lemma

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1-\lambda}{p}$, $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\lambda}$, *where* $0 \le \lambda < 1$. *Then the inequality*

$$\|T_\alpha f\|_{L^{q,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \le c(p,\alpha,\lambda)\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}$$

holds, where

$$c(p,\alpha,\lambda) = c\left(C_\alpha + \frac{p}{1-\lambda-\alpha p}\right)\left[(p')^{1/q} + 1\right]$$

and the positive constant c *does not depend on* p *and* α.

Proof. Following the idea of Hedberg [18] and taking into account the proof of (4.2) we have that

$$|T_\alpha f(x)| \le c(p,\lambda,\alpha)(Mf)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{1-\lambda}}(x)\|f\|^{\frac{\alpha p}{1-\lambda}}_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$c(p,\lambda,\alpha) = C_\alpha + \frac{p}{1-\lambda-\alpha p}$$

and

$$(Mf)(x) = \sup_{\substack{x\in X\\ 0\le r<d}} \frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|d\mu(y).$$

We set

$$\overline{f}(x,t) := \frac{1}{\mu B\left(x, \frac{\rho(x,t)}{\overline{\alpha}}\right)} \int\limits_{B\left(x,\frac{\rho(x,t)}{\overline{\alpha}}\right)} |f(y)|d\mu(y),$$

where $\overline{\alpha}$ is the constant between 0 and 1. In fact $\overline{\alpha}$ is the constant from the reverse doubling condition (3.3) (we use the symbol $\overline{\alpha}$ instead of α).

Observe that

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \le b\int\limits_X |f(y)| \left[\int\limits_{\overline{\alpha}\rho(x,y)<\rho(x,t)<\rho(x,y)} \mu B(x,\rho(x,t))^{\alpha-2}d\mu(t)\right] d\mu(y),$$

where b is the constant depending on β from (3.3).

Hence,

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \le b\int\limits_X \mu B(x,\rho(x,t))^{\alpha-2}\left(\int\limits_{B\left(x,\frac{\rho(x,t)}{\overline{\alpha}}\right)} |f(y)|d\mu(y)\right) d\mu(t)$$

$$\le b_0 \int\limits_X \mu B(x,\rho(x,t))^{\alpha-1}\overline{f}(t,x)d\mu(t),$$

where b_0 is the positive constant which does not depend on p, α and λ.

We take $\varepsilon > 0$ which will be chosen later. Then

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \le b_0 \Bigg[\int\limits_{B(x,\varepsilon)} \mu B(x, \rho(x,t))^{\alpha-1} \overline{f}(t,x) d\mu(t)$$

$$+ \int\limits_{X \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)} \mu B(x, \rho(x,t))^{\alpha-1} \overline{f}(t,x) d\mu(t) \Bigg] =: b_0 \left[J^{(1)}(x,t) + J^{(2)}(x,t) \right].$$

It is easy to see that (see also [9], p. 348)

$$J^{(1)}(x,t) \le Mf(x) \int\limits_{B(x,\varepsilon)} \mu B(x, \rho(x,t))^{\alpha-1} d\mu(t) \le c_\alpha Mf(x) \mu B(x,\varepsilon)^\alpha,$$

where the positive constant c_α depends only on α.

Further, by Hölder's inequality we find that

$$f(t,x) \le \frac{1}{\mu B\left(x, \frac{\rho(x,t)}{\overline{\alpha}}\right)} \left(\int\limits_{B\left(x, \frac{\rho(x,t)}{\overline{\alpha}}\right)} |f(t)|^p d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu B\left(x, \frac{\rho(x,t)}{\overline{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

Besides this, by the inequality

$$\int\limits_{X \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)} \mu B(x, \rho(x,t))^{\alpha-1+\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} d\mu(t) \le c \mu B(x,\varepsilon)^{\alpha+\frac{\lambda-1}{p}}$$

(see Proposition 6.1.2 of [9]) we obtain that

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \le b_0 \Bigg[c_\alpha Mf(x) \mu B(x,\varepsilon)^\alpha + \Bigg(\int\limits_{X \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)} \mu B(x, \rho(x,t))^{\alpha-1+\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} d\mu(t) \Bigg)$$

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \Bigg] = b_0 \Big[c_\alpha (Mf)(x) \mu B(x,\varepsilon)^\alpha + \overline{c}_{p,\lambda,\alpha} \mu B(x,\varepsilon)^{\alpha+\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)} \Big],$$

where the positive constant c_α depends only on α and the positive constant $\overline{c}_{p,\lambda,\alpha}$ is given by $\overline{c}_{p,\lambda,\alpha} = \frac{p}{1-\lambda-\alpha p}$; b_0 does not depend on p, α and λ.

Now we take (recall that $\mu B(x,\varepsilon)$ is continuous in ε)

$$\mu B(x,\varepsilon) = \left[\frac{\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}}{Mf(x)} \right]^{\frac{p}{1-\lambda}}.$$

Consequently,

$$|I_\alpha f(x)| \le \left(c_\alpha - \frac{p}{\alpha p - 1 + \lambda} \right) \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{1-\lambda}} (Mf)^{1-\frac{p\alpha}{1-\lambda}}(x).$$

Using the condition $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\lambda}$ and Proposition 2.2 we find that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)^\lambda} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |I_\alpha f(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\le \mu B(x,r)^{-\lambda/q}\left(c_\alpha - \frac{p}{\alpha p - 1 + \lambda}\right)\left[\int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{q\left[1-\frac{p\alpha}{1-\lambda}\right]} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{1-\lambda}}$$

$$= \left(c_\alpha - \frac{p}{\alpha p - 1 + \lambda}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\mu B(x,r)^{\lambda/q}}\int\limits_{B(x,r)} (Mf(y))^{p} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{1-\lambda}}$$

$$\le \left(c_\alpha - \frac{p}{\alpha p - 1 + \lambda}\right)\|Mf\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{p/q}\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}^{\frac{\alpha p}{1-\lambda}}$$

$$\le \left(c_\alpha - \frac{p}{\alpha p - 1 + \lambda}\right) c_0 b^{\lambda}\left[(p')^{1/q} + 1\right]\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(X,\mu)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By using Lemma 5.1 and repeating the arguments of the proof of Theorem 4.1 we conclude that Theorem 5.1 holds. Details are omitted. □

Acknowledgement. The author expresses his gratitude to Professor V. Kokilashvili for drawing his attention to the problems studied in this work and helpful comments and remarks.

References

[1] D. R. Adams, A note on Riesz potentials. *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), No.4, 765-778.

[2] V. Burenkov and H. V. Guliyev, Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces. *Studia Math.* **163** (2004), No. 2, 157–176.

[3] I. V. Burenkov, H. V. Guliyev and V. S. Guliyev, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **409** (2006), No. 4, 443–447.

[4] C. Capone and A. Fiorenza, On small Lebesgue spaces, *J. Function Spaces and Applications,* **3**(2005), 73–89.

[5] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal func- tion. *Rend. Math.* **7** (1987), p. 273-279.

[6] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogénes, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 242, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin,* 1971

[7] G. Di Fazio and M. A. Ragusa, Commutators and Morrey spaces. *Bollettino U.M.I.* **7** **5-A** (1991), 323-332.

[8] J. Duandikoetxea, Fourier analysis, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, Vol. 29, *AMS, Providence, Rhode Island*, 2001.

[9] D. E. Edmunds, V. Kokilashvili, and A. Meskhi, Bounded and compact integral operators., *Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London,* 2002.

[10] Eridani, V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, Morrey spaces and fractional integral operators, *Expo. Math.* **27**(2009), 227-239 (with Eridani and V. Kokilashvili).

[11] A. Fiorenza, Duality and reflexivity in grand Lebesgue spaces, *Collect. Math.* **51 (2)** (2000), 131-148.

[12] A. Fiorenza, B. Gupta and P. Jain, The maximal theorem in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, *Studia Math.* **188(2)**(2008), 123-133.

[13] A. Fiorenza and G. E. Karadzhov, Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs, *Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche*, *Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "Mauro Picone", Raporto Technico* No. 316/06.

[14] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups. *Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, New Jersey,* 1982.

[15] M. Giaquinta, Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems, *Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ,* 1983.

[16] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, 2nd ed., *Springer-Verlag, Berlin,* 1983.

[17] L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, Inverting the p-harmonic operator, *Manuscripta Math.* **92**(1997), 249–258.

[18] L. Hedberg, *On certain convolution inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 505–510

[19] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **119** (1992) 129–143.

[20] V. Kokilashvili, Boundedness criterion for the Cauchy singular integral operator in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces and application to the Riemann problem. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **151**(2009), 129–133.

[21] V. Kokilashvili, The Riemann boundary value problem for analytic functions in the frame of grand $L^{p)}$ spaces. *Bull. Georgian Nat. Acad. Sci.* **4**(2010), $N_0 1$, 5–7.

[22] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, A note on the boundedness of the Hilbert tranform in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, *Georgian Mathematical Journal,* **16** (2009), Number 3, 547–551.

[23] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, Maximal and singular integrals in Morrey spaces with variable exponent. *Arm. J. Math.* **1** (2008), 18–28.

[24] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, Maximal functions and potentials in variable exponent Morrey spaces with non-doubling measure. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* (to appear in 2010).

[25] V. Kokilashvili and M. Krbec, Weighted inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz spaces. Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, World Scientific, 1991.

[26] C. B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1938), 126–166.

[27] J. Peetre, On the theory of $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ spaces. *J. Functional Analysis* **4**(1969), 71–87.

[28] Y. Sawano, H. Tanaka, Morrey spaces for nondoubling measures, *Acta Math. Sinica* **21** (2005), No. 6, 1535–1544.

[29] J. O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1381**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

Author's Address:
A. Meskhi:
A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193, Georgia
Second Address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Informatics and Control Systems, Georgian Technical University, 77, Kostava St., Tbilisi, Georgia.
e-mail: meskhi@@rmi.acnet.ge